

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,468	12/31/2003	Adam H. Molina	047711-0334	3984
23392 FOLEY & LAF	7590 01/03/2007 RDNER		EXAMINER SMITH, PAUL B ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
2029 CENTUR	Y PARK EAST	•		
SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELE	s. CA 90067			
			3763	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/749,468	MOLINA ET AL.	MOLINA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Paul B. Smith	3763	,		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 C	October 2006				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under the					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-31 and 38-42</u> is/ar		ration			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e withdrawn nom conside	ration.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-37</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-37</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>32-37</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[☐ objected to by the Exam	iner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			Stage		
application from the International Burea	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received.			
	·	·	·		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
3)	6) Other:	• •			

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 5/5/2005, 1/7/2005, and 6/25/2004 are acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner considers the references cited therein.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 32-37 are objected to because of the following informalities: the abbreviation COPE makes the claims unclear. Please, replace COPE with the proper full name, copolyester ether. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 32-34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller ('767)
- 5. Mueller discloses medical solution tubing comprising an inner layer (26), an intermediate layer (24) and an outer layer (22). Wherein said intermediate layer is

Art Unit: 3763

composed of a blend of an EVA-based polymeric adhesive and an elastomeric copolyester. (See Column 4 Line 25-35) A coextrusion process manufactures the medical solution tubing. (See Column 4 Line 35-45)

6. It appears that Mueller discloses every element of claims 32-34 and 37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue:
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller ('767) in view of Karsten ('394).
- 10. Mueller discloses medical solution tubing comprising an inner layer (26), an intermediate layer (24) and an outer layer (22). Wherein said intermediate layer is

Art Unit: 3763

composed of a blend of an EVA-based polymeric adhesive and an elastomeric copolyester. (See Column 4 Line 25-35) A coextrusion process manufactures the medical solution tubing. (See Column 4 Line 35-45)

- 11. Mueller fails to disclose tubing comprising a plurality of copolyester layers.
- 12. Karsten teaches a tube comprising an inner layer, an interlayer and an outer layer. Said inner layer and outer layer are composed of copolyester. (See Abstract)
- 13. It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosure of Mueller with the teachings of Karsten to provide a medical tube comprising multiple layers of copolyester.

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. U.S. Patent 4,948,643 A to Mueller
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6022. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am 4 pm.

Art Unit: 3763

16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul B Smith Examiner Art Unit 3763

Page 5

PBS December 19, 2006